

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/780,887 | | 02/09/2001 | George A. Pecoraro | 1657A1 | 9023 |
| 24959 | 7590 | 03/07/2005 | | EXAM | INER . |
| PPG IND | | | BOLDEN, ELIZABETH A | | |
| INTELLEO ONE PPG | | ROPERTY DEPT | ART UNIT | PAPER NÚMBER | |
| | PITTSBURGH, PA 15272 | | | 1755 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | H/ | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Paraman to Bula 242 Communication | 09/780,887 | PECORARO ET AL. | |
| Response to Rule 312 Communication | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Elizabeth A. Bolden | 1755 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address – | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| The amendment filed on <u>02 January 2005</u> under 37 CF a) entered. | FR 1.312 has been considered, a | nd has been: | |
| b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting | ng the scope of the invention. | | |
| c) disapproved because the amendment was filed a | • • | | |
| Any amendment filed after the date the issue and the required fee to withdraw the application | · | by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) | |
| d) 🛛 disapproved. See explanation below. | | | |
| e) entered in part. See explanation below. | | | |
| The amendment has been disapproved for the following | reasons. | | |
| 1) The amendment to the claims does not show a compa | lete listing of the claims. | | |
| 2) The current amendment filed 24 January 2005 does r | | | |
| Examiners Amendment mailed 18 November 2004. In to claims 14-16 to depend from claim 19 and canceled claim. | he Amendment dated 7 Septemb im 26 However in the 312 Amer | er 2004, Applicants amended | |
| Applicants reverted claims 14-16 to depend from claim 2 did not show with the appropriate identifiers, that amend | 26, and claim 26 has been cance | led. Furthermore, the Applicant's | |
| 3) In claim 21, it is unclear whether the 4 in the fourth lin °C. If the Applicants wish to strike the 4 from the temper of removing the first 4 or to completely strike through the | rature it is recommended to use t | he double brackets as an indication | |
| | | | |
| | | | |